

REMARKS

The Examiner has kindly indicated that claims 2 to 8 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected claim but allowable if rewritten in independent form including all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Accordingly, an amended claim 1 is presented for consideration which incorporate the features of claim 2 (now canceled). The dependency of claim 3 is amended accordingly.

It is submitted that claims 9 to 14 which are dependent on claim 1 either directly or indirectly, also likewise allowable.


The applicant is also presenting a new claim (set) 20 to 33 for consideration which corresponds to claims 1 to 14 as originally filed in which claim 20 includes a definition for a start-up operation of a continuous caster which distinguishes the invention from the cited prior art of Vaculik et al without introducing a minimum casting speed of at least 0.1 meter/second, the feature of claim 2 now included in amended claim 1.

Applicant submits that such a specific limitation is not necessary and claim 20 provides for "a start-up operation of a continuous caster which begins with pouring liquid steel into an empty mould and ends when a cast length of strand product reaches a predetermined length".

Applicant notes that support for this definition will be found in the description at paragraphs [006], [014], and [043] and that no new matter is being added to the application.

Favourable consideration of the application, and its allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,


Robert F. Delbridge
Registration No. 24,969
RD:nf

Customer No. 28079
Gowling Lafleur Henderson LLP
One Main Street West, Hamilton, Ontario
Canada L8P 4Z5
(905) 540-3277

HAM_LAW\124957\1